| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 09/894,321 | HORI ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | James A. Thompson | 2625 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after non-final rejection</u> | | |
| (1) James A. Thompson. | (3) | |
| (2) Eckhard H. Kuesters (Reg. #28,870). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 23 March 2006 | Time: <u>1:00PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: N/A | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative by telephone to confirm if the present application was to be abandoned. Applicant's representative confirmed that the present case was to be abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Janes M. Maria | | |
| Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |